

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,061	01/30/2001	Neal A. Osborn	PALM-3285.US.P 6019  EXAMINER	
49637	7590 11/03/2005			
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C. 9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD			PAN, YUWEN	
SUITE 810	of BOOLEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90069			2682	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antine Comments	09/773,061	OSBORN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yuwen Pan	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Se	eptember 2005.					
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
		•				
Attachment(s)	A) [ ] (mt_m_t_m, o,	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Other:						

Art Unit: 2682

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/02/05 has been entered.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,826,387 (hereinafter '387). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Art Unit: 2682

because patent '387 and current application claim a wireless network comprising a method of at least two electronic wireless devices in which access file or application from each other. The method comprises steps of establishing a wireless connection between the two electronic wireless devices and exchange file or application between them.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell (US006600902B1) in view of Kobayashi (US006633759B1).

Per claim 1 and 8, 14, Bell discloses a method of communicating information comprising the steps of:

Communicating a first electronic business card from a first electronic device to a second electronic device using a wireless communication mechanism (see figure 3 and items 31-43, column 5 and lines 10-23);

Said second electronic device receiving said first electronic business card (see figure 3 and items 46);

Responsive to said user selection, said second electronic device storing said first electronic business card and automatically communicating a second electronic business card to said first electronic device (see column 6 and lines 45-65).

Art Unit: 2682

One portable electronic device (see figure 2 and item 3) comprising:

A processor coupled to a bus (see figure 2 and item 24);

A transmitter and a receiver (see figure 2 and items 20-23);

A display screen (see figure 2 and item 28);

A memory coupled to said bus and comprising instructions that implement a method of communicating information (see figure 2 and item 25 and 26).

Bell doesn't teach that in response to previous step, said second electronic device automatically displaying a message indicating a user selection.

Kobayashi teaches that in response to previous step, said second electronic device automatically displaying a message indicating a user selection (see figure 8 and lines 15-35).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kobayshi with Bell's method such that it permits a user to interact with the exchanging information by selecting one of the options.

Per claims 2, 3 and 9,10, 15,16, Bell further discloses said wireless communication mechanism is infrared communication and substantially compatible with a Bluetooth communication protocol (see column 4 and lines 48-53).

Per claims 4,11, 17, Bell further discloses first and second electronic devices are both palm-sized computer (see column 4 and lines 46).

Per claims 5 and 6, Bell further discloses calendar information and an electronic business card (see column 3 and lines 30-33).

Per claim 7, Bell further discloses data base files (see figure 2, item 27).

Per claims 12 and 18, Bell further discloses that said first electronic business card represents and owner of said first electronic device and wherein said second electronic business card represents an owner of said second electronic device (see column 5 and lines 59-65).

Per claim 13 and 19, Bell further discloses the step of said second electronic device allowing a user to select between: 1) accepting said first electronic business card; 2) rejecting said first electronic business card; and 3) accepting said first electronic business card and automatically transmitting said second electronic business card (see column 6 and lines 46-65). With respect to claim 20, Bell further discloses that said display screen is a liquid crystal display screen (see figure 2 and item 28).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quochien Vuong can be reached on 571-272-7902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2682

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 31, 2005